

Bohrer
USSN 10/665,247
Filed September 18, 2003

Remarks

Claims 29-35, 37-62, 64 and 65 have been deemed allowable. Claim 36 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, but would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 63 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-28 have been rejected.

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-28 and 63. Applicant has amended Claim 36 to overcome the Examiner's rejection regarding the use of vague, indefinite and/or misleading phrases. Applicant respectfully disagrees with the rejection of claim 36 due to the lack of proper antecedent basis. The phrase "the non-oriented" in claim 36 refers back to the phrase "non-oriented" in claim 34, which claim 36 is dependent upon.

Bohrer
USSN 10/665,247
Filed September 18, 2003


It is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance. If the Examiner would like to suggest changes of a formal nature to place this application in better condition for allowance, a telephone call to Applicants' undersigned attorney would be appreciated.

Respectfully submitted,



08840

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE


Tracey D. Beiriger, Esq.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 37402
Tele. No. 724-337-2779